

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 TY THOMAS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DR. DAVID MAR; KATHY KING; ROBERT
15 BANNISTER; SANDY SNIDER; TERRI
16 JACOBS; ROBERT CRUM; JONATHAN
17 PERRY; GREG SMITH; HOWARD
SKOLNIK; NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS; AND THE STATE OF
NEVADA, real party in interest,



18 Defendants.

19 Case No. 3:10-cv-00282-LRH-VPC

20 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH
PREJUDICE AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE

21 It is stipulated to and agreed by and between TY THOMAS, *pro se*, and Defendants
22 STATE OF NEVADA, NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, DAVID MAR, ROBERT
23 BRUCE BANNISTER, SANDY SNIDER and JOHN PEERY (incorrectly sued as "JONATHAN
24 PERRY"), by and through counsel, Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General of the State of
25 Nevada, and Crystal R. Willis, Deputy Attorney General, that Ty Thomas' civil action, Case
26 No. 3:10-cv-00282-HDM-VPC, be dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil
27 Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), as the parties have reached a settlement in the instant matter.

28 ///

1 Each party shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.
2
3 TY THOMAS
4 Plaintiff
5 
6 By:  Date: 10/2/2010
7
8
9
10 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
11 Attorney General
12 
13 By:  Date: 11/2/10
14 CRYSTAL R. WILLIS
15 Deputy Attorney General
16 Bureau of Public Affairs
17 Public Safety Division
18 Attorneys for Defendants

19 **ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

20 Having considered the preceding "Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice," as agreed
21 to by the parties' hereinabove, and for other GOOD CAUSE, the Court hereby ORDERS that
22 this case be DISMISSED with PREJUDICE.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.
24
25 DATED this 4th day of November, 2010.
26
27
28



26 LARRY R. HICKS
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28